

# Master Course Computer Networks IN2097

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Carle Christian Grothoff, Ph.D.

Chair for Network Architectures and Services Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München http://www.net.in.tum.de





### □ Project

Network virtualisation:
Link virtualization: ATM, MPLS



### **Network Architectures**

Link virtualization: ATM, MPLS





#### Issues:

- IP datagrams into ATM AAL5 PDUs
- from IP addresses to ATM addresses
  - just like IP addresses to 802.3 MAC addresses
  - ARP server





- AAL5 is a simple and efficient AAL (SEAL) to perform a subset of the functions of AAL3/4
- The CPCS-PDU payload length can be up to 65,535 octets and must use PAD (0 to 47 octets) to align CPCS-PDU length to a multiple of 48 octets

| PAD     | Padding                     |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| CPCS-UU | CPCS User-to-User Indicator |
| CPI     | Common Part Indicator       |
| Length  | CPCS-PDU Payload Length     |
| CRC-32  | Cyclic Redundancy Chuck     |

|                  | 0 - 47 | 1          | 1   | 2      | 4      |
|------------------|--------|------------|-----|--------|--------|
| CPCS-PDU Payload | PAD    | CPCS<br>UU | СРІ | Length | CRC-32 |







## Classical IP and ARP over ATM (CLIP)

- Specification of a complete IP implementation for ATM
- Suitable for ATM unicast communication
- □ Encapsulation of IP packets into AAL PDUs
- Support for large MTU sizes
- □ There must be an ATMARP server in each LIS (Logical IP Subnet)





The host registers its IP/ATM address information at the ATMARP server using the InARP protocol





- □ RFC 1577: Classical IP and ARP over ATM
- □ ATMARP Server Operational Requirements
  - The ATMARP server, upon the completion of an ATM call/ connection of a new VC, will transmit an InATMARP request to determine the IP address of the client.
  - The InATMARP reply from the client contains the information necessary for the ATMARP Server to build its ATMARP table cache.
  - This information is used to generate replies to the ATMARP requests it receives.
- InATMARP is the same protocol as the original InARP protocol presented in RFC 1293 but applied to ATM networks: Discover the protocol address of a station associated with a virtual circuit.
- RFC 1293: Bradely, T., and C. Brown, "Inverse Address Resolution Protocol", January 1992.



Classical IP and ARP over ATM (CLIP)

- □ RFC 1577: Classical IP and ARP over ATM
- ATMARP Client Operational Requirements
  - 1. Initiate the VC connection to the ATMARP server for transmitting and receiving ATMARP and InATMARP packets.
  - 2. Respond to ARP\_REQUEST and InARP\_REQUEST packets received on any VC appropriately.
  - Generate and transmit ARP\_REQUEST packets to the ATMARP server and to process ARP\_REPLY appropriately. ARP\_REPLY packets should be used to build/refresh its own client ATMARP table entries.
  - 4. Generate and transmit InARP\_REQUEST packets as needed and to process InARP\_REPLY packets appropriately. InARP\_REPLY packets should be used to build/refresh its own client ATMARP table entries.
  - 5. Provide an ATMARP table aging function to remove own old client ATMARP tables entries after a period of time.





### Multi-Protocol Label Switching





# Multiprotocol label switching (MPLS)

- Initial goal: speed up IP forwarding by using fixed length label (instead of IP address) to do forwarding
  - borrowing ideas from Virtual Circuit (VC) approach
  - IP datagram still keeps IP address
  - RFC 3032 defines MPLS header
    - Label: has role of Virtual Circuit Identifier
    - Exp: experimental usage, may specify Class of Service (CoS)
    - S: Bottom of Stack end of series of stacked headers
    - TTL: time to live





- a.k.a. label-switched router
- forwards packets to outgoing interface based only on label value (don't inspect IP address)
  - MPLS forwarding table distinct from IP forwarding tables
- □ signaling protocol needed to set up forwarding
  - Label Distribution Protocol LDP (RFC 3036 → obsoleted by RFC 5036)
  - RSVP-TE (RFC 3209
    - → updated by RFCs 3936, 4420, 4874, 5151, 5420, 5711)
- forwarding possible along paths that IP alone would not allow (e.g., source-specific routing)
- MPLS supports traffic engineering
- □ must co-exist with IP-only routers





IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2011/2012



- □ Label Switched Path (LSP)
  - set up by signalling protocol
  - has sequence of labels
- □ Forwarding Equivalence Class (FEC)
  - specification of packets treated the same way by a router
  - forwarded over same LSP
  - can be specified by destination prefix, e.g. FEC 10.1.1.0/24
- Label Switching Router
  - MPLS-capable IP router; may bind labels to FEC
- □ MPLS node
  - does not need IP stack
- □ stacked labels
  - Iabel push; label pop

Layer2 Header Top Label .... Bottom Label Layer3 Header



- High Speed Switching
  - facilitates construction of nodes with wire-line speed
- Simplifying packet forwarding
  - Routing decision can be limited to edge of AS
- Traffic Engineering
  - MPLS may control paths taken by different flows, e.g. to avoid congestion points for certain flows
- Quality of Service (QoS) support
  - resources may be specified for specific flows, isolation among flows
- Network scalability
  - label stacking allows to arrange MPLS domains in a hierarchy
- □ Supporting VPNs
  - tunneling of packets from an ingress point to an egress point







Label semantics

- □ Fine or coarse grained
- Unicast or multicast
- □ Explicit or implicit route
- VPN identifier
- ⇒ Loose semantics create flexible control



□ Traffic engineering: process of mapping traffic demand onto a network



□ Purpose of traffic engineering:

- Maximize utilization of links and nodes throughout the network
- Engineer links to achieve required delay, grade-of-service
- Spread network traffic across network links, reduce impact of failure
- Ensure available spare link capacity for re-routing traffic on failure
- Meet policy requirements imposed by the network operator
- ⇒ Traffic engineering key to optimizing cost/performance



### **Virtual Private Networks**





# - VPNs

Networks perceived as being private networks by customers using them, but built over shared infrastructure owned by service provider (SP)

- □ Service provider infrastructure:
  - backbone
  - provider edge devices
- □ Customer:
  - customer edge devices (communicating over shared backbone)







- Privacy
- □ Security
- □ Works well with mobility (looks like you are always at home)
- □ Cost
  - many forms of newer VPNs are cheaper than leased line VPNs
  - ability to share at lower layers even though logically separate means lower cost
  - exploit multiple paths, redundancy, fault-recovery in lower layers
  - need isolation mechanisms to ensure resources shared appropriately
- □ Abstraction and manageability
  - all machines with addresses that are "in" are trusted no matter where they are

IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2011/2012











#### □ all VPN functions implemented by customer





- □ Leased-line VPN
  - configuration costs and maintenance by service provider: long time to set up, manpower
- □ CPE-based VPN
  - expertise by customer to acquire, configure, manage VPN
- □ Network-based VPN
  - Customer routers connect to service provider routers
  - Service provider routers maintain separate (independent) IP contexts for each VPN
    - sites can use private addressing
    - traffic from one VPN cannot be injected into another











