

Chair for Network Architectures and Services – Prof. Carle Department for Computer Science TU München

## Master Course Computer Networks IN2097

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Carle Christian Grothoff, Ph.D. Dr. Nils Kammenhuber

Chair for Network Architectures and Services Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München http://www.net.in.tum.de





#### Design principles:

- □ network virtualization: overlays
- □ separation of data, control
- □ hard state versus soft state
- □ randomization
- $\Box$  indirection
- □ multiplexing
- design for scale


#### Goals:

- identify, study common architectural components, protocol mechanisms
- what approaches do we find in network architectures?
- synthesis: big picture



## Virtual circuits: signaling protocols

- □ used to set up, maintain teardown VC
- □ used in (G)MPLS, ATM, frame-relay, X.25
- □ not used in today's Internet at L3 (network layer)





signaling: exchange of messages among network entities to enable (provide service) to connection/call

- □ before, during, after connection/call
  - call setup and teardown (state)
  - call maintenance (state)
  - measurement, billing (state)
- □ between
  - end-user <-> network
  - end-user <-> end-user
  - network element <-> network element
- □ examples
  - Q.921, SS7 (Signaling System no. 7): telephone network
  - Q.2931: ATM
  - RSVP (Resource Reservation Protocol)
  - H.323: Internet telephony
  - **SIP** (Session Initiation Protocol): Internet telephony



Chair for Network Architectures and Services – Prof. Carle Department for Computer Science TU München



Credits in addition to Jim Kurose and Keith Ross:

Julie Chan, Vovida Networks. Milind Nimesh, Columbia University Christian Hoene, University of Tübingen





## SIP: Session Initiation Protocol [RFC 3261]

### SIP long-term vision:

all telephone calls, video conference calls take place over Internet

- people are identified by names or e-mail addresses, rather than by phone numbers
- you can reach callee, no matter where callee roams, no matter what IP device callee is currently using

#### SIP key person:

Henning Schulzrinne, Columbia University

M. Handley, H. Schulzrinne, and E. Schooler, "SIP: session initiation protocol," Internet Draft, Internet Engineering Task Force, March 1997. Work in progress.
H. Schulzrinne, A comprehensive multimedia control architecture for the Internet, 1997






- IETF RFC 2543: Session Initiation Protocol An application layer signalling protocol that defines initiation, modification and termination of interactive, multimedia communication sessions between users.
- □ Sessions include
  - voice
  - video
  - chat
  - interactive games
  - virtual reality
- □ SIP is a text-based protocol, similar to HTTP and SMTP.



- Setting up a call, SIP provides mechanism
  - for caller to let callee know she wants to establish a call
  - so caller, callee can agree on media type, encoding
  - to end call

- determine current IP address of callee:
  - maps mnemonic identifier to current IP address
- □ call management:
  - add new media streams during call
  - change encoding during call
  - invite others
  - transfer, hold calls





 Alice's SIP invite message indicates her port number, IP address, encoding she prefers to receive (e.g. AVP 0: PCM ulaw)

Bob's 200 OK message indicates his port number, IP address, preferred encoding (e.g. AVP 3: GSM)

SIP is an out-of-band signalling protocol

 SIP messages can be sent over TCP or UDP.
 (All messages are ack'ed)

□ default SIP port number is 5060.

IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2009/2010



- □ codec negotiation:
  - suppose Bob doesn't have PCM ulaw encoder.
  - Bob will instead reply Reply, listing his encoders
  - Alice can then send new INVITE message, advertising different encoder

- rejecting a call
  - Bob can reject with replies "busy," "gone," "payment required," "forbidden"
- with 606 Not Acceptable 

  media can be sent over RTP or some other protocol



## **Example of SIP message**

INVITE sip:bob@domain.com SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 167.180.112.24 From: sip:alice@hereway.com To: sip:bob@domain.com Call-ID: a2e3a@pigeon.hereway.com Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Length: 885

c=IN IP4 167.180.112.24 m=audio 38060 RTP/AVP 0

Notes:

- □ HTTP message syntax
- □ sdp = session description protocol
- □ Call-ID is unique for every call.

IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2009/2010

Here we don't know
 Bob's IP address.
 Intermediate SIP
 servers needed.

Alice sends, receives
 SIP messages using SIP
 default port 5060

Via: header specifies intermediate server(s)



## Name translation and user location

- caller wants to call callee, but only has callee's name or e-mail address.
- need to get IP address of callee's current host:
  - user moves around
  - DHCP protocol
  - user has different IP devices (PC, PDA, car device)

- result can be based on:
  - time of day (work, home)
  - caller (e.g. don't want boss to call you at home)
  - status of callee (calls sent to voicemail when callee is already talking to someone)
  - Service provided by SIP servers:
  - □ SIP registrar server
  - □ SIP proxy server



- when Bob starts SIP client, client sends SIP REGISTER message to Bob's registrar server (similar function needed by Instant Messaging)
- registrar analogous to authoritative DNS server

#### **Register Message:**

REGISTER sip:domain.com SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 193.64.210.89 From: sip:bob@domain.com To: sip:bob@domain.com Expires: 3600



- □ Alice sends invite message to her proxy server
  - contains address sip:bob@domain.com
- □ proxy responsible for routing SIP messages to callee
  - possibly through multiple proxies.
- □ callee sends response back through the same set of proxies.
- □ proxy returns SIP response message to Alice
  - contains Bob's IP address
- proxy analogous to local DNS server




Caller jim@umass.edu places a call to keith@upenn.edu (1) Jim sends INVITE message to umass SIP proxy.

(2) Proxy forwards request to upenn registrar server.

(3) upenn server returns redirect response,

indicating that it should try keith@eurecom.fr



(4) umass proxy sends INVITE to eurecom registrar.

(5) eurecom registrar forwards INVITE to 197.87.54.21, which is running keith's SIP client.

(6-8) SIP response sent back

(9) media sent directly between clients.

Note: SIP ack messages not shown.




## SIP consists of a few RFCs

| RFC  | Description  |
|------|--|
| 2976 | The SIP INFO Method  |
| 3361 | DHCP Option for SIP Servers  |
| 3310 | Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Digest Authentication Using Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA)  |
| 3311 | The Session Initiation Protocol UPDATE Method  |
| 3420 | Internet Media Type message/sipfrag  |
| 3325 | Private Extensions to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Asserted Identity within Trusted Networks                                      |
| 3323 | A Privacy Mechanism for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |
| 3428 | Session Initiation Protocol Extension for Instant Messaging  |
| 3326 | The Reason Header Field for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |
| 3327 | Session Initiation Protocol Extension for Registering Non-Adjacent Contacts  |
| 3329 | Security Mechanism Agreement for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Sessions  |
| 3313 | Private Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)Extensions for Media Authorization  |
| 3486 | Compressing the Session Initiation Protocol  |
| 3515 | The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Refer Method   |
| 3319 | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv6)Options for Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Servers  |
| 3581 | An Extension to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Symmetric Response Routing   |
| 3608 | Session Initiation Protocol Extension Header Field for Service Route Discovery During Registration   |
| 3853 | S/MIME AES Requirement for SIP   |
| 3840 | Indicating User Agent Capabilities in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |
| 3841 | Caller Preferences for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)   |
| 3891 | The Session Inititation Protocol (SIP) 'Replaces' Header   |
| 3892 | The SIP Referred-By Mechanism  |
| 3893 | SIP Authenticated Identity Body (AIB) Format   |
| 3903 | An Event State Publication Extension to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |
| 3911 | The Session Inititation Protocol (SIP) 'Join' Header   |
| 3968 | The Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA) Header Field Parameter Registry for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)                        |
| 3969 | The Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA) Universal Resource Identifier (URI) Parameter Registry for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) |
| 4032 | Update to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Preconditions Framework  |
| 4028 | Session Timers in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |
| 4092 | Usage of the Session Description Protocol (SDP) Alternative Network Address Types (ANAT) Semantics in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)    |
| 4168 | The Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) as a Transport for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)                                       |
| 4244 | An Extension to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Request History Information  |
| 4320 | Actions Addressing Identified Issues with the Session Initiation Protocol's (SIP) non-INVITE Transaction                                       |
| 4321 | Problems identified associated with the Session Initiation Protocol's (SIP) non-INVITE Transaction   |
| 4412 | Communications Resource Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)   |
| 4488 | Suppression of Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) REFER Method Implicit Subscription  |
| 4508 | Conveying Feature Tags with Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) REFER Method   |
| 4483 | A Mechanism for Content Indirection in Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Messages  |
| 4485 | Guidelines for Authors of Extensions to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  |

#### IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2009/2010





IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2009/2010



□ An application that initiates, receives and terminates calls.

- User Agent Clients (UAC) An entity that initiates a call.
- User Agent Server (UAS) An entity that receives a call.
- Both UAC and UAS can terminate a call.



- An intermediary program that acts as both a server and a client to make requests on behalf of other clients.
- Requests are serviced internally or passed on, possibly after translation, to other servers.
- Interprets, rewrites or translates a request message before forwarding it.



- □ A server that accepts REGISTER requests.
- □ The registrar server may support authentication.
- A registrar server is typically co-located with a proxy or redirect server and may offer location services.



- A server that accepts a SIP request, maps the address into zero or more new addresses and returns these addresses to the client.
- □ Unlike proxy server, the redirect server does not initiate own SIP requests
- Unlike a user agent server, the redirect server does not accept or terminate calls.
- The redirect server generates 3xx responses to requests it receives, directing the client to contact an alternate set of URIs.
- In some architectures it may be desirable to reduce the processing load on proxy servers that are responsible for routing requests, and improve signaling path robustness, by relying on redirection.
- Redirection allows servers to push routing information for a request back to the client, thereby taking themselves out of the loop of further messaging while still aiding in locating the target of the request.
  - When the originator of the request receives the redirection, it will send a new request based on the URI(s) it has received.
  - By propagating URIs from the core of the network to its edges, redirection allows for considerable network scalability.
- □ C.f. iterative (non-recursive) DNS queries



- A location server is used by a SIP redirect or proxy server to obtain information about a called party's possible location(s).
- A location Server is a logical IP server that transmits a Presence Information Data Format - Location Object (PIDF-LO).
- A PIDF-LO is an XML Scheme for carrying geographic location of a target.
- As stated in RFC 3693, location often must be kept private. The Location Object (PIDF-LO) contains rules which provides guidance to the Location Recipient and controls onward distribution and retention of the location.

# SIP Messages – Methods and Responses

#### SIP components communicate by exchanging SIP messages:

#### SIP Methods:

- INVITE Initiates a call by inviting user to participate in session.
- ACK Confirms that the client has received a final response to an INVITE request.
- BYE Indicates termination of the call.
- CANCEL Cancels a pending request.
- REGISTER Registers the user agent.
- OPTIONS Used to query the capabilities of a server.
- INFO Used to carry out-of-band information, such as DTMF (Dual-tone multi-frequency) digits.

#### SIP Responses:

- 1xx Informational Messages.
- 2xx Successful Responses.
- 3xx Redirection Responses.
- 4xx Request Failure Responses.
- 5xx Server Failure Responses.
- 6xx Global Failures Responses.



- □ SIP borrows much of the syntax and semantics from HTTP.
- A SIP messages looks like an HTTP message: message formatting, header and MIME support.
- □ An example SIP header:


| SIP Header  |  |
|---|--|
| INVITE sip:5120@192.168.36.180 SIP/2.0                  |  |
| Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.168.6.21:5060                      |  |
| From: sip:5121@192.168.6.21                             |  |
| To: <sip:5120@192.168.36.180></sip:5120@192.168.36.180> |  |
| Call-ID: c2943000-e0563-2a1ce-2e323931@192.168.6.21     |  |
| CSeq: 100 INVITE  |  |
| Expires: 180  |  |
| User-Agent: Cisco IP Phone/ Rev. 1/ SIP enabled         |  |
| Accept: application/sdp                                 |  |
| Contact: sip:5121@192.168.6.21:5060                     |  |
| Content-Type: application/sdp                           |  |




- The SIP address is identified by a SIP URL, in the format: user@host.
- □ Examples of SIP URLs:
  - sip:user@domain.com
  - sip:user@192.168.10.1
  - sip:14083831088@domain.com




 Each time a user turns on the SIP user client (SIP IP Phone, PC, or other SIP device), the client registers with the proxy/registration server.



- The registration information is periodically refreshed and each user client must re-register with the proxy/registration server.
- Typically the proxy/registration server will forward this information to be saved in the location/redirect server.







- □ SIP was designed for:
  - Integration with existing IETF protocols.
  - Scalability and simplicity.
  - Mobility.
  - Easy feature and service creation.



## **Integration with IETF Protocols**

- Other IETF protocol standards can be used to build a SIP based application. SIP works with existing IETF protocols, for example:
  - RTP Real Time Protocol to transport real time data and provide QOS feedback.
  - SDP Session Description Protocol for describing multimedia sessions.
  - RSVP to reserve network resources.
  - RTSP Real Time Streaming Protocol for controlling delivery of streaming media.
  - SAP Session Advertisement Protocol for advertising multimedia session via multicast.
  - MIME Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension describing content on the Internet.
  - COPS Common Open Policy Service.
  - OSP Open Settlement Protocol.



□ Scalability:

The SIP architecture is scalable, flexible and distributed.

- Functionality such as proxying, redirection, location, or registration can reside in different physical servers.
- Distributed functionality allows new processes to be added without affecting other components.

□ Simplicity:

SIP is designed to be:

- "Fast and simple in the core."
- "Smarter with less volume at the edge."
- Text based for easy implementation and debugging.



□ SIP can support these features and applications:

- Basic call features (call waiting, call forwarding, call blocking etc.)
- Unified messaging (the integration of different streams of communication - e-mail, SMS, Fax, voice, video, etc. - into a single unified message store, accessible from a variety of different devices.)
- Call forking
- Click to talk
- Presence
- Instant messaging
- Find me / Follow me



- □ A SIP based system can support rapid feature and service creation
- □ For example, features and services can be created using:
  - Common Gateway Interface (CGI).
    - A standard for interfacing external applications with information servers, such as Web servers (or SIP servers).
       A CGI program is executed in real-time, so that it can output dynamic information.
  - Call Processing Language (CPL).
    - Jonathan Lennox, Xiaotao Wu, Henning Schulzrinne: RFC3880
    - Designed to be implementable on either network servers or user agents. Meant to be simple, extensible, easily edited by graphical clients, and independent of operating system or signalling protocol. Suitable for running on a server where users may not be allowed to execute arbitrary programs, as it has no variables, loops, or ability to run external programs.
    - Syntactically, CPL scripts are represented by XML documents.



□ For more information on SIP:

IETF

http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/sip-charter.html

Henning Schulzrinne's SIP page

http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~hgs/sip/



Chair for Network Architectures and Services – Prof. Carle Department for Computer Science TU München

## Location Information and IETF GeoPriv Working Group

credits: Milind Nimesh, Columbia University





□ Describes physical position of a person or device:

- geographical
- civic (i.e., address)
- descriptive (e.g. library, airport)
- □ Formatting and transfer of location information relatively easy
- □ Privacy and security complex
- □ Application:
  - emergency services
  - resource management
  - social networking
  - search
  - navigation




□ Geographic Location/Privacy working group

□ Primary tasks for this working group

- assess authorization, integrity and privacy requirements
- select standardized location information format
  - enhance format  $\rightarrow$  availability of security & privacy methods
- authorization of: requester, responders, proxies

□ Goal: transferring location information: private + secure







□ Location Object: conveys location information + privacy rules

- □ Rule Maker: creates rules → governs access to location information
- □ Target: person/entity whose location communicated
- □ Using Protocol: protocol carrying location object
- Viewer: consumes location information but does not pass information further

□ c.f. RFC 3693




- Secure transmission of location objects
- □ User controlled privacy rules
- □ Filtering location information
- □ Location object carries core set of privacy rules
- □ Ability of user to hide real identity


| Scenarios   |                           |
|---|---------------------------|
| GPS Satellite   |                           |
|   |                           |
| Sighting  |                           |
| GPS Devic   | e E                       |
| Location Generator + Location Server + Location Storage |                           |
|   | Notification<br>Interface |
|   | Target Location Recipient |
|   | Rule Maker                |

GPS Device with Internal Computing Power: Closed System

IN2097 - Master Course Computer Networks, WS 2009/2010



Mobile Communities and Location-Based Services





## **Location Configuration**

Configuring the location of a device, using means such as:

- DHCP extensions
  - RFC3825 : Option 123, geo-coordinate based location
  - RFC4776 : Option 99, civic address
- □ Link Layer Discovery Protocol Media Endpoint Discovery
  - LLDP a vendor-neutral Layer 2 protocol that allows a network device to advertise its identity and capabilities on the local network.
     IEEE standard 802.1AB-2005 in May 2005.
     Supersedes proprietary protocols like Cisco Discovery Protocol,
  - auto-discovery of LAN information (system id, port id, VLAN id, DiffServ settings, …) ⇒ plug & play
  - cisco discovery protocol: switch broadcasts switch/port id
    - switch  $\rightarrow$  floor, port  $\rightarrow$  room  $\Rightarrow$  room level accuracy
- □ HTTP Enabled Location Delivery
  - device retrieves location from Location Information Server (LIS)
  - assumption: device & LIS present in same admin domain; find LIS by DHCP, IPv6 anycast, …
- □ Applications ⇒ emergency 911, VoiP, location based applications



- □ Traffic Analysis
  - attacks on target and privacy violations
- Securing the Privacy Rules
  - rules accessible to LS
  - authenticated using signature
- □ Emergency Case
  - handling authentication failure
- □ Identities & Anonymity



- XML based object format to communicate presence information
   Instant Messaging (IM)
- □ PIDF extension to carry geographical information:
- □ Extended PIDF encapsulates
  - preexisting location information formats
  - security & policy control
- □ Protocols capable of carrying XML or MIME types suitable
- □ Security: MIME-level  $\rightarrow$  S/MIME





## Baseline: RFC 3863

- □ entity
- contact (how to contact the person)
- □ timestamp
- □ status
- tuple (provide a way of segmenting presence information)

## Extensions: RFC 4119

- □ location-info
- □ usage-rules
  - retransmission-allowed
  - retention-expires
  - ruleset-reference
  - note-well
- □ method
- □ provided-by





Home

- Describes places of humans or end systems
- □ Application

call divert: off

email: office pc

jabber status: office

- define location-based actions
- e.g. if loc = "classroom" then cell phone ringer = off
- e.g. if loc = "cinema" then call divert = on
- □ Location coordinate knowledge  $\neq$  context
- □ airport, arena, bank, bar, bus-station, club, hospital, library....
- $\Rightarrow$  Prediction:

most communication will be presence-initiated or pre-scheduled

call divert: on

email: blackberry

jabber status: busy



**Chair for Network Architectures and Services – Prof. Carle** Department for Computer Science TU München

## Maintaining network state





## <u>Goals:</u>

- identify, study common architectural components, protocol mechanisms
- what approaches do we find in network architectures?
- synthesis: big picture

#### 7 design principles:

- network virtualization: overlays
- □ separation of data, control
   ⇒signalling
- hard state versus soft state
- □ randomization
- □ indirection
- □ multiplexing
- □ design for scale



state: information *stored* in network nodes by network protocols

- updated when network "conditions" change
- □ stored in multiple nodes
- □ often associated with end-system generated call or session
- □ examples:
  - ATM switches maintain lists of VCs: bandwidth allocations, VCI/VPI input-output mappings
  - RSVP routers maintain lists of upstream sender IDs, downstream receiver reservations
  - TCP: Sequence numbers, timer values, RTT estimates



- state installed by receiver on receipt of setup message from sender
- state removed by receiver on receipt of teardown message from sender
- default assumption: state valid unless told otherwise
  - in practice: failsafe-mechanisms (to remove orphaned state) in case of sender failure e.g., receiver-to-sender "heartbeat": is this state still valid?
- □ examples:
  - Q.2931 (ATM Signaling)
  - ST-II (Internet hard-state signaling protocol outdated)
  - TCP



- state *installed* by receiver on receipt of setup (trigger) message from sender (typically, an endpoint)
  - sender also sends periodic *refresh* message: indicating receiver should continue to maintain state
- state removed by receiver via timeout, in absence of refresh message from sender
- □ default assumption: state becomes invalid unless refreshed
  - in practice: explicit state removal (*teardown*) messages also used
- □ examples:
  - RSVP, RTP/RTCP, IGMP




- sender: network node that (re)generates signaling (control) messages to install, keep-alive, remove state from other nodes
- receiver: node that creates, maintains, removes state based on signaling messages *received* from sender




# Let's build a signaling protocol

- S: state Sender (state installer)
- R: state Receiver (state holder)
- □ desired functionality:
  - S: set values in R to 1 when state "installed", set to 0 when state "not installed"
  - if other side is down, state is not installed (0)
  - initial condition: state not installed









- □ reliable signaling
- □ state removal by request
- requires additional error handling
  - e.g., sender failure






□ best effort signaling





□ refresh timer, periodic refresh






- □ best effort signaling
- □ refresh timer, periodic refresh
- □ state time-out timer, state removal only by time-out




- □ "Systems built on soft-state are robust" [Raman 99]
- "Soft-state protocols provide .. greater robustness to changes in the underlying network conditions..." [Sharma 97]
- "obviates the need for complex error handling software" [Balakrishnan 99]

# What does this mean?




- Periodic refresh: if network "conditions" change, refresh will reestablish state under new conditions
- example: RSVP/routing interaction: if routes change (nodes fail) RSVP PATH refresh will *re-establish* state along new path



# Soft-state: "easy" handling of changes

- □ L6 goes down, multicast routing reconfigures but...
- H1 data no longer reaches H3, H4, H5 (no sender or receiver state for L8)
- □ H1 refreshes PATH, establishes *new* state for L8 in R1, R3
- H4 refreshes RESV, propagates upstream to H1, establishes new receiver state for H4 in R1, R3





# Soft-state: "easy" handling of changes

- "" "recovery" performed transparently to end-system by normal refresh procedures
- no need for network to signal failure/change to end system, or end system to respond to specific error
- less signaling (volume, types of messages) than hard-state from network to end-system but...
- more signaling (volume) than hard-state from end-system to network for refreshes



□ refresh messages serve many purposes:

- trigger: first time state-installation
- refresh: refresh state known to exist ("I am still here")
- <lack of refresh>: remove state ("I am gone")
- □ challenge: all refresh messages unreliable
  - problem: what happens if first PATH message gets lost?
    - copy of PATH message only sent after refresh interval
  - would like triggers to result in state-installation a.s.a.p.
  - enhancement: add receiver-to-sender refresh\_ACK for triggers
  - sender initiates retransmission if no refresh\_ACK is received after short timeout
  - e.g., see paper "Staged Refresh Timers for RSVP" by Ping Pan and Henning Schulzrinne
  - approach also applicable to other soft-state protocols



